

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/733,956	12/10/2003	Hung Thai Nguyen	21748-937	7996	
7590 06/03/2004			EXAMINER		
Barley, Snyder, Senft & Cohen, LLC 126 East King Street			GLENN, KIMBERLY E		
Lancaster, PA 17602-2893			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		·	2817		

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application N .	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		10/733,956 NGUYEN, HUNG		THAI	
		Examin r	Art Unit		
		Kimberly E Glenn	2817		
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	ne corresp ndence a	ddress	
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failthe	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND cause the application to become ABAND.	to e timely filed days will be considered time from the mailing date of this	sly. communication.	
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This				
3)□			prosecution as to th	e merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E			-, .	
Disnosit	ion of Claims		* .		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•			
	Claim(s) $1-8$ is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
·	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are objected to.			•	
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement			
	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers		•		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner		•	•	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o				
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form P	TO-152.	
Pri rity u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign _l □ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 118	(a)-(d) or (f).		
مار م	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received			
	Certified copies of the priority documents	•	eation No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori			Stane	
	application from the International Bureau			Clage	
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ived.		
•			•		
Attachmen	i(s)		•	•	
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mai	l Date al Patent Application (PT0) 152\	
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	sterit Application (PTC	<i>J-1J2)</i>	

Application/Control Number: 10/733,956

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-4 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 2-5 of copending Application No. 10/020281. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the current application and the

Application/Control Number: 10/733,956

Art Unit: 2817

copending application both disclose at least some of the same limitations and therefore the current application meets the limitations of the copending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-8 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record does not disclose or fairly teach arranging selected ones of the conductors on two opposing sided of the substrate adjacent to each other.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Coolidge US Patent 1,861,524 and Adrianssens et al US Patent 5,997,358.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly E Glenn whose telephone number is (571)-272-1761. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571)-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2817

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kimberly E Glenn Examiner Art Unit 2817

keg

BENNY T. LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2817